GPB—15 000– 20 12 13

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1898-1899

~~191~~ .

(Inungai)

File No. 6

(Section)

Serial Nos. 1-13

Papers Filed.

Month December 1907

SUBJECT.

File relating to the order directing to recover from the dismissed archika Kamaraveerasa of Sri Kali Kameswara Swami Temple at Inungai the Value of the Temple Jewels and to resume the above Temple Inam and duties have alienated by the said archika

PREVIOUS REFERENCES	LATER REFERENCES

SC/

READ—

Petition No. 1048, dated 20th March 1902, from Kumara Deekshit, archak of the Sri Rameswaraswami and other temples in Magadi fort, appealing against the order of the Deputy Commissioner, Mr. M. S. Narayan Rao, dismissing him from service.

AND—

Correspondence ending with letter No. 997, dated 14th March 1903, from the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District, reporting on the above.

ALSO—

Circular Order No. 119-26—Cir. 7, dated 23rd April 1892, forwarding Kanarese Notices for distribution amoung the archaks of the Muzrai temples, warning them that their continuance in the office will depend upon their good conduct and the knowledge Agamás as laid down in the Notice.

No. 133-42—MUZ. F. 6-98, DATED BANGALORE, 17TH JULY 1903.

ORDER THEREON.—Several petitions having been received in this office so far back as 1894, from the residents of Magadi, complaining against the conduct of Kumara Deekshit, archak of the Sri Rameswaraswami temple in the Magadi Fort, they were all referred to the local authorities for enquiry; and from the reports received from them, it was observed that the said Kumara Deekshit was a man of very loose morals and consequently unfit to hold the archakship. It was therefore ordered in February 1896, that the archak should be placed under suspension for a period of one year, and it was stated that the question of his reinstatement would be considered after that period, if he should be reported to have improved in his conduct and character. In December 1897, Mr. B. K. Venkatavaradaiengar, the then Deputy Commissioner of the Bangalore District, having reported that the conduct and behaviour of archak Kumara Deekshit had improved, the Government were pleased to order his reinstatement in office. But very soon after this, complaints were again received that the archak was unfit to do puja in the temple by reason of his immoral conduct and that he had also misappropriated the temple property. These complaint petitions were again referred to the Deputy Commissioner for enquiry; and Mr. T. Paramasiva Iyer, then in charge of the Closepet Sub-Division, having been satisfied that Kumara Deekshit was a widower leading an incontinent life and that he neglected the worship in the temples, directed his removal from office. On appeal against this order, the Deputy Commissioner, Mr. B. K. Venkatavaradaiengar, cancelled the suspension and ordered the restoration of the appellant to the office, directing, however, that he might be prosecuted, if he had committed criminal breach of trust. He was accordingly prosecuted before the Sub-Division Magistrate, Mr. D. M. Narasinga Rao, for alienating the temple inam lands and for misappropriating the temple jewels, and was convicted and sentenced by that officer. But on appeal to the Chief Court, the conviction was set aside on the ground that the circumstances of the case were such as might justify proceedings against the appellant in a Civil Court and that there was a total absence of proof that he had acted dishonestly.

2. Thereupon Kumara Deekshit applied to the Deputy Commissioner praying that he might be restored to his office. The Deputy Commissioner (Mr. M. S. Narayan Rao) observed that it was impossible to restore him to the office of pujari of the temple, as he was unable to account for the missing jewels, and as he had further the hardihood to mortgage the temple property and had the impudence to say that he wanted the money to pay the expenses of answering the complaints preferred against him. He accordingly directed his dismissal from office. Against the foregoing order of the Deputy Commissioner, Kumara Deekshit has appealed to Government.

3. The present Deputy Commissioner (Mr. Sparkes) who was consulted on the subject, reports in his letter read above, that the archak mortgaged half a vritti of land belonging to the temple in the Nethanahalli village to Anniachar and Shamanna for Rs. 40 on the 12th November 1896, and also sold 1 acre and 30 guntas of wet land belonging to the temple assessed at Rs. 7-8-0 in the Tatwal village to Doddaiya for Rs. 225 on the 26th May 1900. As to the jewels missing from the temple, he also states that Kumara Deekshit cannot be acquitted of blame, although his conviction by the Assistant Commissioner for criminal breach of trust was set aside by the Chief Court on certain technical grounds. As to the moral character and conduct of the archak, the Deputy Commissioner reports that Kumara Deekshit is a widower reputed to have a low caste woman in his keeping in his own house and leading an immoral life.

4. From the unfavorable report submitted by the present Deputy Commissioner (Mr. Sparkes) and by the former Deputy Commissioner (Mr. M. S. Narayan Rao) as regards the archak's moral character and conduct, the Government deem his removal from office necessary, and they accordingly decline to interfere with the orders passed by the Deputy Commissioner, Mr. M. S. Narayan Rao, dismissing Kumara Deekshit from the archakship. His appeal is therefore rejected. The Deputy Commissioner is requested to make adequate arrangements immediately for the conduct of worship in the temples concerned.

5. As archaks' appointments are always hereditary, the local officers should first see whether there is any one in the present archak's family competent to fill the post before appointing an outsider thereto. The action taken in the matter should be reported to Government in the Muzrai Department.

6. The Sri Rameswaraswami temple in Magadi enjoys a cash grant of Rs. 69 per annum. This and the other temples under the same archak have also inam lands, some of which are reported to have been alienated by the archak Kumara Deekshit. The Deputy Commissioner is requested to take steps to get back such lands to the temple, proceeding under the rules published with Notification No. 266, dated the 17th November 1877, wherever possible, and in such other ways as may be required by the circumstances of each case.

7. Similarly, arrangements should also be made for the recovery of the value of the silver jewels and articles of the temple for which the archak is said to be responsible.

8. A final detailed report of the action taken in this matter should also be submitted.

L. ANANTASAMI RAO,
Deputy Secretary, Muzrai Department.

To—The Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District, returning records.

The Deputy Commissioners of other Districts and the Palace Controller, Mysore, for information.

Exd.—C.V.

Early

MUZRAI SECRETARIAT

19 —19 C 12

From

L. ANANTASAMI RAO, Esq., B.A.,
DEPUTY SECRETARY TO THE
GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE,
MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

To

The Dy Comr
Bangalore Dt

Dated 24.

Despatched Novr 1903

Received

General No. 1438
Muzrai F. No. 6-98

Enclosure No.

Govt: Pro: No. 133-42
Muzd. 6-98
Dy 17.7.03 calling
for a detailed report
re the selection of
an archak to the
Sri Rameswaraswami
and other temples at
Magadi and the
recovery of the
value of temple
jewels &c from
Kumara Deekshit
&c.

MGCPB—1,000—21-7-03.

Has the honor

to draw attention
to the above and
to ~~request~~ enquire
what action
has been taken
in the matter

B D

12/11/04

D. Lacy,
Financial Asst.

MYSORE GOVERNMENT
1068
MUZRAI SECRETARIAT

1903/04

From The Dy Commissioner
Bangalore Dt

To The Dy Secretary to the Govt
of Mysore
Muzrai Deptt.

Dated
Despatched 16th/17th Dec 1903.
Received
General No.
S No. 530.

Enclosure No

Refer for

Submitted

Dy Secy's docket No 1438 of 24 Nov 1903.

States that an archak has temporarily been appointed to perform pujas in the Kameswara Swamy and other temples at Malaj by that the matter re the restoration of the mam land is under correspondence and that a report will be Sub-d

may be recorded with the observations that further report is awaited - accepted and submitted accordingly

Dy 22/12/03

Sec

MGCPB—50 reams.—6-12 02

S No 530.

Bangalore District.
Deputy Commissioners Office
Bangalore 16th December 03.

From H. H Sparkes Esqr
Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District

To

L. Anantasamy Rau Esqr: B.A
Deputy Secretary to the Government of Mysore
Muzrai Department.

Sir,

With reference to your docket No 1438 of 24th November 03, enquiring what action has been taken in the selection of an archak to the Sri Rameswara Swamie and other temples at Nagadi, &c, I have the honor to state that, an archak has been temporarily appointed to perform the puja in temples and the matter about the restoration of the Inam lands and the recovery of the Jewels is yet under correspondence between this office and the Assistant Commissioner and as soon as the enquiry is completed and the final report received from the latter, a detailed report called for will be

We submitted

I have the honorable
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant
Naradeja Mandurwar
for Deputy Commissioner

(Off. for approval)

Mysore.

Sl 3

Head:—

Letter no 630 dy 16th Dec 1903, from the Dy Commr Bangalore Distt, reporting with refce to Govt Pro. no 123-42 Mwz 7 6-98 dy 17th July 1903, that an Archak has been temporarily appointed to the Sri Rameswaraswami & other temples in Magadi & that the question of the restoration of the inam lands &c to the temples & the recovery of the jewels belonging

there is ~~[illegible]~~ under

correspondence

Order thereon :— 1837 Dy 26/12/03

Recorded.

2 The further report promised will be awaited.

[illegible]
Dy Secy
Mines Dept

23/12/03

To The Dy comm —
Bangalore Dt

MUZRAI SECRETARIAT.

1904—1905. SF 4

From

L. ANANTASAMI RAO, Esq., B.A.,
~~Deputy~~ *Secretary to the Govt. of*
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
Muzrai Department.

To

The Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

Dated

Despatched 6th August 1904

Received

General No. 664
Muzrai F. No. 6-98

Enclosure No. (1)

In continuation of Govt. Proceedings ~~Memo~~ No. 1837 of 26th December 1903, has the honor to forward herewith a petition, registered no 242 dated 19th July 1904, from Rama Bhatta and other residents of Nagara alleging that certain Inam land endowed to the Sri Srikanteswara Swamy temple in the nagadi fort, had been mortgaged, and praying for its resumption and restoration to the said temple, ~~with a~~ Requests that the Deputy Commissioner will be so good as to expedite the submission of the report ~~already promised~~ without delay.

B 11/8/04

"Offs" 8/
3/8/04 Secty: Muz. dept.

MGCPB—1000 21—1—04

MYSORE
MUZRAI SECRETARIAT
703

SLS

180487

Rec'd

Received 18/8/04

OFFICE OF THE PRIVATE SECRETARY TO
HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

Mysore, 17th August 1904.

Submit for orders

Petition — as shown below, to His Highness the Maharaja, to

with previous papers

transferred to the Secretary to the Govt of H.H. the

~~for~~ Maharaja of Mysore, Muzrai department Bangalore, for disposal

25/8/04

Vide Note put up 28/8/04

No.

374 of 1904-05.

By Order,

P. Narasimha

I. C. S.

Private Secretary
to H. H. The Maharaja of Mysore.

MYSORE GOVERNMENT
703

374

(Church Channapatna)

8/9/04

ಕೆಳಗೆ ಸಹಿ ಮಾಡಿರುವ ನಿವೃತ್ತ ಸೈನಿಕನಾದ ನಾನು ಚನ್ನಪಟ್ಟಣ ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು ಕೆಂಗಲ್ ಹೋಬಳಿ ಕೆಂಗಲ್ ಗ್ರಾಮದ ಸರ್ವೆ ನಂ. ೨೭೯ರಲ್ಲಿ ೧ ಎಕರೆ ೩೦ ಗುಂಟೆ ಜಮೀನನ್ನು ಸರ್ಕಾರದಿಂದ ಮಂಜೂರು ಮಾಡಿಸಿಕೊಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಕೋರಿ ಈ ಹಿಂದೆ ಅರ್ಜಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿದ್ದು, ಅದರಂತೆ ಸದರಿ ಜಮೀನನ್ನು ಮಂಜೂರು ಮಾಡಿಸಿಕೊಡಲು ಕ್ರಮ ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳಲಾಗಿದೆ. ಆದರೆ ಸದರಿ ಜಮೀನಿನ ಪಕ್ಕದಲ್ಲಿ ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಜಮೀನು ಇರುವುದಾಗಿ ಹೇಳಿ ಕೆಲವರು ಸದರಿ ಜಮೀನನ್ನು ಮಂಜೂರು ಮಾಡಿಸಿಕೊಡಲು ಅಡ್ಡಿಪಡಿಸುತ್ತಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಸದರಿ ಜಮೀನನ್ನು ಮಂಜೂರು ಮಾಡಿಸಿಕೊಡಲು ಕೋರಿ ಈ ಅರ್ಜಿಯನ್ನು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿದೆ.

ಸ್ಥಳ: ಕೆಂಗಲ್

ದಿನಾಂಕ: ೨೭.೧೦.೨೦೦೪

ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರ ಸಹಿ

ಹೆಸರು: ಎಂ. ಚಿನ್ನಪ್ಪ

ತಂದೆಯ ಹೆಸರು: ಮುದ್ದಪ್ಪ

ವಿಳಾಸ: ಕೆಂಗಲ್ ಗ್ರಾಮ ಮತ್ತು ಅಂಚೆ

ಚನ್ನಪಟ್ಟಣ ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು

ಸೇವಾ ವಿವರ

ಸೈನ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ಸೇರಿದ ದಿನಾಂಕ: ೧೫.೦೭.೧೯೬೨

ಸೈನ್ಯದಿಂದ ನಿವೃತ್ತರಾದ ದಿನಾಂಕ: ೩೦.೦೬.೧೯೮೨

ಸೈನ್ಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿದ ಸೇವಾವಧಿ: ೧೯ ವರ್ಷ ೧೧ ತಿಂಗಳು

ಸೈನ್ಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಪಡೆದ ಹುದ್ದೆ: ಸಿಪಾಯಿ

ಸೈನ್ಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಪಡೆದ ಪದಕಗಳು: ಸಂಗ್ರಾಮ ಪದಕ, ಸೈನ್ಯ ಸೇವಾ ಪದಕ, ೯ ವರ್ಷ ಸೇವಾ ಪದಕ

ಸೈನ್ಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಪಡೆದ ಇತರೆ ಪ್ರಶಸ್ತಿ

ਨੋਟ: ਕਲਾਸੀ ਅਫ਼ਸਰ ਤੋਂ ਘੱਟ ਦਰਜੇ ਦੇ ਅਫ਼ਸਰਾਂ ਨੂੰ ਇਸ ਫ਼ਾਰਮ ਉੱਤੇ ਸਿਫ਼ਾਰਸ਼ ਕਰਨ ਦਾ ਅਧਿਕਾਰ ਨਹੀਂ ਹੈ।

ਮੈਂ ਹੇਠਾਂ ਦਸਤਖ਼ਤ ਕਰਨ ਵਾਲਾ ਇਹ ਸਰਟੀਫ਼ਿਕੇਟ ਦਿੰਦਾ ਹਾਂ ਕਿ ਮੈਂ ਇਸ ਵਿਅਕਤੀ ਨੂੰ ਚੰਗੀ ਤਰ੍ਹਾਂ ਜਾਣਦਾ ਹਾਂ ਅਤੇ ਉਸ ਦੇ ਚਾਲ-ਚਲਣ ਬਾਰੇ ਮੈਨੂੰ ਕੋਈ ਜਾਣਕਾਰੀ ਨਹੀਂ ਹੈ ਜੋ ਉਸ ਨੂੰ ਸਰਕਾਰੀ ਨੌਕਰੀ ਲਈ ਅਯੋਗ ਠਹਿਰਾਉਂਦੀ ਹੋਵੇ।

ਮੈਂ ਇਹ ਵੀ ਸਰਟੀਫ਼ਿਕੇਟ ਦਿੰਦਾ ਹਾਂ ਕਿ ਮੈਂ ਇਸ ਵਿਅਕਤੀ ਨੂੰ ਇਸ ਤੋਂ ਪਹਿਲਾਂ ਕਿਸੇ ਹੋਰ ਅਸਾਮੀ ਲਈ ਸਿਫ਼ਾਰਸ਼ ਨਹੀਂ ਕੀਤੀ ਹੈ।

ਮਿਤੀ: ਅਹੁਦੇ ਦੀ ਪੂਰੀ ਜਾਣਕਾਰੀ

ਸਾਰੇ ਉਮੀਦਵਾਰਾਂ ਨੂੰ ਹੇਠਾਂ ਲਿਖੀਆਂ ਹਦਾਇਤਾਂ ਨੂੰ ਧਿਆਨ ਨਾਲ ਪੜ੍ਹਨਾ ਚਾਹੀਦਾ ਹੈ।

ਅਰਜ਼ੀ ਫ਼ਾਰਮ ਨੂੰ ਸਾਫ਼ ਅਤੇ ਸਪਸ਼ਟ ਅੱਖਰਾਂ ਵਿੱਚ ਭਰਨਾ ਚਾਹੀਦਾ ਹੈ। ਕੱਟ-ਵੱਢ ਜਾਂ ਓਵਰਰਾਈਟਿੰਗ ਵਾਲੇ ਫ਼ਾਰਮ ਰੱਦ ਕੀਤੇ ਜਾ ਸਕਦੇ ਹਨ। ਹਰ ਭਾਗ ਨੂੰ ਧਿਆਨ ਨਾਲ ਪੜ੍ਹ ਕੇ ਭਰੋ।

ਅਧੂਰੇ ਜਾਂ ਗ਼ਲਤ ਭਰੇ ਫ਼ਾਰਮ ਬਿਨਾਂ ਕਿਸੇ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਦੇ ਰੱਦ ਕਰ ਦਿੱਤੇ ਜਾਣਗੇ। ਇਸ ਲਈ ਕਮਿਸ਼ਨ ਕੋਈ ਜ਼ਿੰਮੇਵਾਰੀ ਨਹੀਂ ਲਵੇਗਾ।

ਜਨਮ ਮਿਤੀ ਦੇ ਸਬੂਤ ਵਜੋਂ ਮੈਟ੍ਰਿਕ ਦਾ ਸਰਟੀਫ਼ਿਕੇਟ ਜਾਂ ਉਸ ਦੀ ਪ੍ਰਮਾਣਿਤ ਨਕਲ ਨੱਥੀ ਕਰਨੀ ਲਾਜ਼ਮੀ ਹੈ। ਹੋਰ ਕੋਈ ਸਬੂਤ ਮੰਨਿਆ ਨਹੀਂ ਜਾਵੇਗਾ।

ਵਿਦਿਅਕ ਯੋਗਤਾ ਦੇ ਸਾਰੇ ਸਰਟੀਫ਼ਿਕੇਟਾਂ ਦੀਆਂ ਪ੍ਰਮਾਣਿਤ ਨਕਲਾਂ ਨੱਥੀ ਕਰੋ। ਅਸਲ ਸਰਟੀਫ਼ਿਕੇਟ ਇੰਟਰਵਿਊ ਸਮੇਂ ਵਿਖਾਉਣੇ ਪੈਣਗੇ।

ਤਜਰਬੇ ਦੇ ਸਰਟੀਫ਼ਿਕੇਟ ਵਿੱਚ ਅਹੁਦੇ ਦਾ ਨਾਂ, ਕੰਮ ਦਾ ਸਮਾਂ ਅਤੇ ਕੰਮ ਦੀ ਪ੍ਰਕਿਰਤੀ ਸਪਸ਼ਟ ਲਿਖੀ ਹੋਣੀ ਚਾਹੀਦੀ ਹੈ।

ਕਿਸੇ ਵੀ ਗ਼ਲਤ ਜਾਣਕਾਰੀ ਦੇਣ ਜਾਂ ਤੱਥ ਲੁਕਾਉਣ ਦੀ ਸੂਰਤ ਵਿੱਚ ਉਮੀਦਵਾਰੀ ਰੱਦ ਕਰ ਦਿੱਤੀ ਜਾਵੇਗੀ।

Sl 6

no: 1066.
14/9/04.
M: 7: 6-98.

Endorsement granted to Komara Dikshit Ca te Archak of the Sri Rameswara and other temples in Magadi Fort, ~~appeal is rejected~~ with refce to his petition Regd no 703 dated 9th August 1904, addressed to H. H the Maharaja, praying for a re-consideration of the orders passed by Govt, dismissing him from the Archakship of the said temples.

In reply, the petitioner is informed that as no fresh grounds are adduced by him in support of his cause, his petition is rejected.

By order

Dewan's order) 8/9/04

Secretary
Inms Depte

recd. from camp on 14/9/04.
no: 1067
14: 9: 04 Copy to the Dy Commr Bangalore Dt for information

By order

8/9/04

Secretary

8/9/04
(Cmdr Chinnamapatna

996

47

MYSORE GOVERNMENT
1962
MUZRAI DEPARTMENT

Sl. 8

1904-05

From The Dy Commissioner
Bangalore Dt

To The Secy to the Govt of Mysore
Muzrai Deptt

H.C
22/11/05
by Refer

Dated 21st
Despatched
Received
General No.
MS. No. 47.
Enclosure No.

Govt Pro No 133-42 Muz 76-98 of 17th July 03.

Submits further report regarding the restoration of the Inam lands to belonging to Sri Rameswaraswami temple at Malavalli

M.S.47

Bangalore District,

Deputy Commissioner's Office,

Bangalore 21st November 1904.

From,

D.Devaraja Urs,Esquire,

Deputy Commsioner,

Bangalore District.

To,

L.Anantasamy Rao,Esquire,

Secretary to the Government of Mysore,

Muzrai Department,

Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to Government Proceedings No.133-42/ Muz:F.6-98 dated the 17th July 1904, calling for a final report regarding the restoration of the Inam lands &c, belonging to the Sree Rameshwaraswami Temple at Magadi, I have the honor to state that due notices were issued to the effect - whether there are any heirs to the dismissed archak Komara deekshita and nobody has come forward to establish their - right and hence the Assistant Commissioner recommends that the present acting Pujari Nanjunda deekshita may be confirmed as he is reported to be honest and does his work properly - with reg rd to the three Inam lands that have been alienated one at Nathenehalli has been restored,and notices have been issued to the one at Thattevagalu Village and the result will be submitted in due course, and as regards the third land the matter is still in correspondence.The Amildar reports that - it is not possible to recover the value of the missing jewels from Komara deekshita, as he possesses no other property

than

than a house which has also been mortgaged.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Deputy Commissioner,

Bangalore District.

Murzar. 19

Read for issue on 14/12/04. AV

Read:—

Govt. Pro. no. 133-42 Murz 7 6-98 dt 17th July 1903 directing the removal of Komara Dakshit, Archak of the Sri Rameswaraswami temple in the Magadi Fort and ~~directing~~ requesting the Dy Commr to take needful steps in the matter of the alienation of the inam lands endowed to the mutt

And,

Letter no 47 dt 21st Nov 04 from the Dy Commr Bangalore dt reporting on the above

Order thereon:— no 2205 m:7·6-98 dt 14/12/04.

With reference to para 5 of the Govt Pro: read above, the Dy Commr. report

Ltr

1906-7 S110

From

The Dy. Commissioner
Bangalore Dist.

5776
25-6-07

To

The Secy. to the Govt. of Mysore
Municipal Dept.

Dated 25

Despatched June 1907

Received

General No.
In S No. 187

Enclosure No.

G.O. 2205 of 14 12.04

Sir,

I beg to submit further reports re the alienation of the two Inam lands endowed to Rameswara temple at Nagade

Bangalore District.
Deputy Commissioner's Office,
Bangalore 25th June 1907.

M.S. No. 187

From, H. A. Sparkes Esquire,
Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District

To, L. Anantasami Rao Esquire B.A.
Secretary to the Government of Mysore
Muzrai Department.

Sir, With reference to para 2 of Government Order No. 2205 dated 14th December 1904, calling for a further report, after due investigation into the matter, of the alienation of the two Inam lands endowed to Rameswara temple at Magadi, I have the honor to state that the Amildar of the Taluk to whom the matter was referred, reports in his No. 32 dated 9th July 1906, that

that the Khata of survey No 6 (wet) of Thatval village measuring ac- gunthas 1 — 6 assessed at Rs 7 — 8 — a being an unalienated land was in the Khata of the Deity and the Archak was enjoying the same after paying the Government ass- -essment. In the year 1880, when the Archak failed to pay the ass- essment the land was brought to sale for the recovery of arrears of revenue due thereon and was purchased for Rs 27/- by this Komaradikhita. The sale was conformed by the Deputy Com missioner and a certificate granted to the auction pur chaser. Then Komaradikhita sold the said land to Doddiah for Rs 275/-. This land not being

a Devadaya Inam land, no steps can be taken towards its resumption from the alienee and restoration to the temple.

2. As regards the other land survey No. 33 (Dry) of the same village, measuring 6-4 Fc. Smlrs, assessed at Rs 7/ is Devadaya Inam land and the Jodi payable thereon is Annas 4 This land is in the enjoyment of one Bhavansing: When this man was questioned as to how he came into the possession of the land, he has deposed before the Amildar that Konaradkhola had mortgaged the land to him for Rs 75/. and that he would produce the mortgage deed But on failing to produce the deed, a reference was made to the Sub Registrars office and it is ascertained that Survey No. 42 of

of the said village is the one mortgaged to Bhavansing and not Survey No. 33 endorsed to the temple - Thus there seems to be no difficulty in taking back this land from the present enjoyer. Orders will be issued to take the Inam land back from Bhavansing who has apparently been unauthorizedly enjoying it

I have the honor to be,
Sir
Your most-Obedient Servant

Thornton Thomas

for Dy Commissioner

Dft as per Dy's orders

20/7

6-9-5

To,

The Deputy Commr

Bangalore Distt

Dated

Despatched.

No.

Sir, I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of ~~Advertising to the~~ letter no. 184 dy 25th June 1904 reporting with reference to the malienated land Sur no 6 of Thatural village originally in the Khata of the Sri Rameswara temple at Nagadi, that the former Archak Kumara Dikshitha allowed the land to be put up to auction on account of his failure to pay the assessment due thereon, purchased it himself in the Revenue Sale, ~~and~~ Sold it subsequently to his advantage to one Daddiah,

[land that as the said land is not a Devadaya inam, no steps can be taken towards its resumption & restoration to the temple

~~I am to request you to observe that~~ The transaction ~~is~~ wherein a fraudulent ~~it was a fraudulent transaction~~ one, I am directed to enquire ~~and to state~~ that Govt. in Council the Revenue Deptt ~~can~~ take

Cognizance of the case and deal
with it on its merits. ~~It is~~ I am therefore to request
~~a question whether Govt have~~ the favour of your
~~powers to interfere and set aside~~ taking up the case on
~~the sale of the land to the~~ receiving a report
~~Archak. The Dy Comm is~~ the Records & Govt and
~~therefore requested to make a~~ obtaining the orders
~~reference on the subject to~~ of the Govt in the matter
~~Govt in the Rev Dept~~ and
reporting the result to this office

2) Next as regards the alienation
of the Devadaya Inam land
Sur. no. 33 in the same village,
reported to be in the unauthorized
enjoyment of one Bhavan Singh,
~~the Dy Comm's~~ the final report promised by you
is awaited

~~3) Early action should be~~
~~taken if this would interfere in any~~

I have &c
By order,

19/7/07
Secretary.

AN
15/7/07

27

Subt to the 2nd Cr for appl
By the misconduct of
the former Archak (Kumara
Gerkchet) the land S. No. 6
has been altogether lost
to the temple. There seems to be
no way of getting it back
as it is not Devadaya land,
EM. 7/7/09

Muz 1 A]

190

From

To The Dy Commr
Bangalore Dt.

Dated

Despatched

Received

General No.

No.

Enclosure No.

It was a fraudulent
transaction. Has
the GVC no power
to interfere &
set aside the sale
of the land by
the Archik & Mog
to the Archik & Mog
in the Revenue
Deptt Can take
Cognizance of the
Case & deal with
it on merit

His letter no 187 of 25th
January reporting upon
the alienation of the inam
lands endowed to the Sri
Rameswara temple at
Magadi

with reference to the
above has the honor to
observe that the Kha Re
Survey No 6 of Thakuval

GPB—2,000—21-7-09

Opine

Do not issue [illegible]

village was an unalienated land in the ~~name~~ Khata of the temple and in the enjoyment of the Archak who was paying the assessment thereon & that the Archak having failed to pay the assessment ~~subsequently~~, the land was sold for the recovery of the arrears & purchased by the Archak Komaradeekshit himself for Rs 27 & that after confirmation of the sale, he sold the land for Rs 275 to one Doddiah Thus, the land not being a Devadaya inam, no action ~~as suggested by the Govt~~ can be taken ~~is called for~~ regarding its restoration to the temple. No such suggestion was made

The land has been thus entirely lost to the temple by the misconduct of the former Archak, Komara Deekshit

2. Next as regards the alienation of land Sur. No 33

in the same village which is reported to be a Devadaya inam, the Dy Commr is requested to issue the needful orders for the resumption & restoration of the land to the temple as stated in the last para of his letter under reply and to submit a further report in due course as to the action taken in the matter.

Since received is awaited Early action should be taken,

By order

Sec to Govt

Dev Dept

ds
6/7/07

Issue this draft

Write to the Ag Commissioner

making enquiries in the sense of the

Councillors remarks — GK 11/7/07

Revised draft
submitted
MD 15/7/07.

Issue GK
19/7/07

MYSORE GOVERNMENT
2282
29/11/07
MINISTERIAL SECRETARIAT

190 8 812

From
The Dy. Commissioner
Bangalore Dist.

To
The Secy. to the Govt. Inspn
Muzrai Dept.

Dated 27.
Despatched 28. November 1907.
Received
General No.
M.S. No. 50

Enclosure No three.

His No. 482 of 27.7-07.

Reports to contain
Inam lands endowed
to Sri Ramadevaru
temple in the Nagadi
Taluk.

MGCPB—50 reams.—6-12-02

Commissioners Office
Bangalore District
Bangalore 27th November 07

MS No 50

From

W. Devaraj Urs Esquire
Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District

To

L. Anantasami Rao Esquire BA
Secretary to the Government of Mysore
Mujrai Department.

Sir

With reference to your letter No. 482 dated 24th July 1907, and in continuation of this Office No. 187 dated 25th June 1907, re certain Inam lands endowed to Sri Rama Devaru temple in the Magady Taluk, I have the honor to state that the Amildar of the Taluk in his No. 128 dated 18th August 1907 reports that land survey

No

No 33 of Talwal village has been resumed by Government from Bhawan Singh who was hitherto enjoying the land.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant

Dy Commissioner
Amb

Draft for approval.
Muzrai

Sh 13

6 R

Read:—

Correspondence ending with letter No. 50, dated 27th November 1907, from the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District, reporting that Sur: No. 33 of Jatwal village in the Magadi Taluk, endowed as Devadaya Inam to the Sri Rameswara temple at Magadi, has been resumed from Bhavan Singh who was hitherto enjoying the land.

Order thereon:—

Recorded. Early steps should be taken to lease out the land to the best advantage of the institution.

2. The report called for regarding the result of the action taken in the matter of the fraudulent sale of Sur No. 6 of the same village of Jatwal, is awaited.

6/12/07
Secretary.

No. 2692-51 9.12.07

To
The Deputy Commr., Bangalore Dt.